

VOLUME 1. DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT TEXT

Summary		S-1
	S.1 Introduction.....	S-1
	S.2 Proposed Project	S-1
	S.3 Areas of Known Controversy / Issues to Be Resolved.....	S-3
	S.4 Impact Summary	S-3
Section 1	Introduction.....	1-1
	1.1 Purpose of the EIR	1-1
	1.2 EIR Review Process.....	1-2
	1.2.1 Notice of Preparation	1-2
	1.2.2 Environmental Review.....	1-2
	1.2.3 Project Approval	1-3
	1.2.4 CEQA Findings and Mitigation Monitoring.....	1-3
	1.3 Project Overview	1-3
	1.4 Initial Study Findings.....	1-4
	1.5 Intended Uses of This EIR.....	1-5
	1.6 Agencies That May Use This EIR	1-5
	1.7 Organization of This EIR.....	1-5
Section 2	Project Description.....	2-1
	2.1 Project Objectives	2-1
	2.2 Project Location and site characteristics.....	2-3
	2.2.1 Existing Project Site.....	2-3
	2.2.2 Existing Sutter Medical Center Facilities	2-9
	2.3 Project Description.....	2-10
	2.3.1 Project As Described in 2008 Initial Study.....	2-10
	2.3.2 Proposed Project Analyzed in this Draft EIR	2-10
	2.3.3 Project Alternatives.....	2-21
	2.4 Required Permits and Approvals	2-22
Section 3	Environmental Impact Analysis	3-1
	3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
	3.2 Aesthetics	3.2-1
	3.2.1 Environmental Setting	3.2-1
	3.2.2 Regulatory Setting	3.2-1
	3.2.3 Impacts and Mitigation	3.2-5
	3.3 Agricultural Resources.....	3.3-1
	3.3.1 Environmental Setting	3.3-1
	3.3.2 Regulatory Setting	3.3-1

Table of Contents

3.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.3-3
3.4	Air Quality	3.4-1
3.4.1	Environmental Setting	3.4-1
3.4.2	Regulatory Setting	3.4-8
3.4.3	Impact Analysis	3.4-20
3.5	Biological Resources	3.5-1
3.5.1	Environmental Setting	3.5-1
3.5.2	Regulatory Setting	3.5-6
3.5.3	Impact Analysis	3.5-11
3.6	Cultural Resources	3.6-1
3.6.1	Environmental Setting	3.6-1
3.6.2	Regulatory Setting	3.6-3
3.6.3	Impact Analysis	3.6-5
3.7	Geology and Soils	3.7-1
3.7.1	Environmental Setting	3.7-1
3.7.2	Regulatory Setting	3.7-9
3.7.3	Impact Analysis	3.7-12
3.8	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3.8-1
3.8.1	Environmental Setting	3.8-1
3.8.2	Regulatory Setting	3.8-3
3.8.3	Impacts Analysis	3.8-6
3.9	Hydrology and Water Quality	3.9-1
3.9.1	Environmental Setting	3.9-1
3.9.2	Regulatory Setting	3.9-2
3.9.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.9-9
3.10	Land Use and Planning	3.10-1
3.10.1	Environmental Setting	3.10-1
3.10.2	Regulatory Setting	3.10-1
3.10.3	Impact Analysis	3.10-7
3.11	Noise	3.11-1
3.11.1	Environmental Setting	3.11-6
3.11.2	Regulatory Setting	3.11-7
3.11.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.11-12
3.12	Population and Housing	3.12-1
3.12.1	Environmental Setting	3.12-1
3.12.2	Impact Analysis	3.12-2
3.13	Public Services	3.13-1
3.13.1	Environmental Setting	3.13-1
3.13.2	Regulatory Setting	3.13-2
3.13.3	Impact Analysis	3.13-4
3.14	Recreation	3.14-1
3.14.1	Environmental Setting	3.14-1
3.14.2	Impact Analysis	3.14-2
3.15	Transportation and Traffic	3.15-1
3.15.1	Environmental Setting	3.15-1

Table of Contents

	3.15.2 Regulatory Setting	3.15-42
	3.15.3 Impact Analysis	3.15-43
3.16	Utilities and Service Systems.....	3.16-1
	3.16.1 Environmental Setting	3.16-1
	3.16.2 Regulatory Setting	3.16-3
	3.16.3 Impact Analysis	3.16-6
Section 4	Energy Analysis.....	4-1
	4.1 Environmental Setting	4-1
	4.2 Regulatory Setting	4-3
	4.3 Impact Analysis	4-5
	4.3.1 Thresholds of Significance	4-5
	4.3.2 Impacts and Mitigation	4-5
	4.4 Proposed Methods to Reduce Energy Use.....	4-8
	4.4.1 Air Quality Mitigation Measures Identified in this EIR.....	4-8
	4.4.2 Energy Reduction Methods.....	4-10
	4.5 Cumulative Impacts	4-11
Section 5	Other CEQA Considerations.....	5-1
	5.1 Growth Inducement	5-1
	5.2 Irreversible Impacts	5-1
	5.2.1 Significant Irreversible Changes to the Environment.....	5-1
	5.2.2 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts	5-2
	5.3 Potential Secondary Environmental Effects	5-3
Section 6	Alternatives Analysis	6-1
	6.1 Project Objectives	6-1
	6.2 Range of Alternatives Considered	6-3
	6.2.1 Screening Criteria	6-3
	6.2.2 Sutter’s Initial Screening.....	6-4
	6.2.3 County’s Alternatives Selection Process	6-5
	6.3 Alternatives Brought Forward for Further Study in the EIR	6-14
	6.4 Alternatives Considered but Rejected as Infeasible.....	6-15
	6.5 Alternatives Evaluated in Detail	6-15
	6.5.1 Alternative 1: No Project	6-15
	6.5.2 Alternative 2: Shiloh Road/US 101 Project Site.....	6-20
	6.5.3 Alternative 3: Todd Road/Moorland Avenue Project Site.....	6-31
	6.5.4 Alternative 4A: Decentralized Alternative (50 Mark West Springs Road and Todd Road/Moorland Avenue Site)	6-43
	6.5.5 Alternative 4B: Decentralized Alternative (50 Mark West Springs Road and Ring Site at 1700 Hampton Way)	6-55
	6.5.6 Alternative 5: No Helistop Alternative	6-72
	6.5.7 Alternative 6: 70-bed Hospital Only Alternative.....	6-74

	6.5.8 Alternative 7: Overall Reduced Project Alternative	6-79
6.6	Environmentally Superior Alternative	6-84
6.7	Alternatives Not Studied Further	6-85
Section 7	Public Scoping and Coordination	7-1
Section 8	Preparers.....	8-1
	8.1 Lead Agency	8-1
	8.2 EIR Authors	8-1
	8.3 Subconsultants	8-2
Section 9	References	9-1

VOLUME 2. DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT APPENDICES (ON CD-ROM)

Appendix A	Initial Study	
Appendix B	Notice of Preparation and Responses	
Appendix C	Air Quality Technical Reports	
	C-1: Imported Engineered Fill Sources, Sutter Hospital Santa Rosa. Ghilotti Construction. January 27, 2009.	
	C-2: Environmental Air Quality Assessment, Sutter Hospital, Sonoma County, California. Illingworth & Rodkin. Revised April 10, 2009.	
	C-3: Attachment for Environmental Air Quality Assessment:	
	Operational Emissions Calculations-2014. Illingworth & Rodkin. October 23, 2009.	
	Operational Emissions Calculations-2014 (Proposed). Illingworth & Rodkin. October 16, 2009.	
	Operational Emissions Calculations-2014 (Standard). Illingworth & Rodkin. October 16, 2009.	
	C-4: Sutter Hospital Air Pollution Emissions for Helicopter Operation. Illingworth and Rodkin. August 28, 2009.	
	C-5: Global Climate Change. Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa, November, 2009.	
Appendix D	Biological Resources Technical Reports	
	D-1: Biological Resource Analysis Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa/Luther Burbank Memorial Foundation master Plan, Santa Rosa, Sonoma County, California. Monk and Associates. January 29, 2008.	

D-2: Special Status Plant Survey Report, Sutter Medical Center. Monk and Associates. July 7, 2009.

D-3: California Tiger Salamander (*Ambystoma Californiense*) Survey 2005-2006, Santa Rosa Medical Center Project Site, Santa Rosa, California. Monk and Associates. June 12, 2006.

D-4: Appendix to California Tiger Salamander (*Ambystoma Californiense*) Survey 2005-2006, Santa Rosa Medical Center Project Site, Santa Rosa, California. Monk and Associates. June 12, 2006.

D-5: Preconstruction Nesting Raptor Survey Report, Sutter Medical Center. Monk and Associates. August 31, 2009.

Appendix E Cultural Resources Technical Report (Confidential)

Appendix F Geology and Soils Technical Reports

F-1: Preliminary Geotechnical Exploration Report, Sutter Medical Center/ Luther Burbank Center for the Arts, Santa Rosa California, ENGEO, Incorporated, November 29, 2004.

F-2: Geotechnical Exploration, Sutter Medical Center Volume 1 and 2. ENGEO, Incorporated. May 22, 2006.

F-3: Supplemental Geotechnical Exploration, Proposed Medical Office and Administrative Buildings, Sutter Medical Center. ENGEO Inc. November 24, 2008.

Appendix G Hazardous Materials Technical Reports

G-1: Phase One Environmental Site Assessment, Sutter Medical Center. ENGEO Inc. December 28, 2004.

G-2: Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment, Sutter Medical Center. ENGEO Inc. February 24, 2005.

G-3: Phase One Environmental Site Assessment, Sutter Medical Center. ENGEO Inc. April 29, 2009.

G-4: Supplemental Agrichemical Assessment Report, Sutter Medical Center. ENGEO Inc. May 27, 2009.

G-5: Interview with FAA and Helicopter Operators regarding Sutter Santa Rosa Medical Center Helistop. Mead & Hunt Memorandum. May 26, 2009.

G-6: Heliport Safety Issues. Mead & Hunt Memorandum. August 10, 2009.

Appendix H Hydrology and Water Quality Technical Reports

H-1: Groundwater Aquifer Test and Water Quality Analysis, Sutter Medical Center. ENGEO Inc. February 21, 2006.

H- 2: Groundwater Study: Proposed Sutter Water Well Supply System, Sutter Medical Center. ENGEO Inc. November 17, 2009.

	H-3: Preliminary Stormwater Mitigation Plan and Preliminary Hydrology and Storm Water Detention Plan, Sutter Medical Center. Brelje and Race Consulting Engineers. October 22, 2009.
	H-4: Well Installation and Testing, Sutter Water Supply Well, Sutter Medical Center. ENGE0, Incorporated. November 13, 2009.
Appendix I	Noise Technical Studies
	I-1: Environmental Noise Assessment Sutter Hospital. Illingworth and Rodkin Inc. Updated July 21, 2009.
	I-2: Facility Planning for Proposed New Sutter Medical Center, Helistop Design Report. Mead and Hunt Inc. October, 2009.
Appendix J	Public Services
	J-1: Fire System Proposal for the Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa. Brelje & Race. August 18, 2009.
	J-2: Correction to Fire System Proposal Dated August 18, 2009 for the Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa. Brelje & Race. September 2, 2009.
Appendix K	Traffic Impact Study, Application Submittal, Sutter Santa Rosa Medical Center. Dowling Associates Inc. August, 2009.
Appendix L	Water and Wastewater Services Report, Sutter Medical Center. Brelje and Race Consulting Engineers. November 16, 2009.
Appendix M	Energy Conservation, Sutter Medical Center. Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa. March 2009, Updated November, 2009.
Appendix N	Alternatives Screening
	N-1: Initial Screening Analysis of Potential Alternatives to the Proposed Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa/Luther Burbank Memorial Foundation Project. Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa. March 24, 2009.
	N-2: Sutter Medical Center Project Requested Information Concerning Project Alternatives. Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa. November, 2009.
Appendix O	Analysis of Potential Indirect Environmental Effects of the Proposed Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa on Other Area Hospitals. Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa. October, 2009.
Appendix P	Legal Notice: Notice of Availability of Draft EIR and Public Hearing

Volume 1 Tables

Table 2-1. Existing Parcels of the Project Site

Table 2-2. Proposed Lot Adjustments

Table 2-3. LBMF Use Permit Provisions

Table 3.2-1. Visual Impact Significance

Table 3.4-1. Highest Measured Air Pollutant Concentrations

Table 3.4-2. Number of Days Measured Air Quality Levels Exceeded Standards

Table 3.4-3. Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table 3.4-4. Summary of State of California Relevant Greenhouse Gas Legislation

Table 3.4-5. Project Emissions from Haul Truck Trip Activity (No Pile Driving)

Table 3.4-6. Project Emissions from Haul Truck Trip Activity (With Pile Driving)

Table 3.4-7. Predicted 8-Hour Worst Case Carbon Monoxide Levels (in ppm)

Table 3.4-8. Phase II Daily Operation Emissions

Table 3.4-9. Phase III Daily Operation Emissions

Table 3.4-10. Operational Emissions with Generator Testing

Table 3.4-11. Proposed Project Estimated Construction GHG Emissions

Table 3.4-12. Proposed Project Estimated Operational GHG Emissions (Phase II and Phase III)

Table 3.5-1. Biological Resource Surveys Conducted of the Proposed Project Site

Table 3.9-1. Water Balance from ENGEO Groundwater Report (2009c) (all values in acre-foot/year unless otherwise noted)

Table 3.9-2. List of Wells Within 2,000 feet of Proposed Sutter Wells

Table 3.10-1. Land Use Policy Consistency Analysis

Table 3.11-1. Definitions of Acoustical Terms

Table 3.11-2. Typical Noise Levels in the Environment

Table 3.11-3. Typical Construction Activity Noise Levels at 50 Feet, Leq in dBA, at Hospital, Office and Parking Garage Construction Sites

Table 3.15-1. Signalized Intersection LOS Criteria

Table 3.15-2. Unsignalized Intersection LOS Criteria

Table 3.15-3. 2008 Intersection Levels of Service

Table 3.15-4. Urban Street Level of Service Definitions by Class (HCM Chapter 15)

Table 3.15-5. 2008 Arterial Level of Service

Table 3.15-6. Basic Freeway Segments Level of Service Definitions at 65 miles per hour

Volume 1 Tables, continued

Table 3.15-7. Existing Mainline Freeway Level of Service

Table 3.15-8. Year 2014 Base Case and Base Case + Project Phase II Intersection Levels of Service

Table 3.15-9. 95th Percentile Queuing

Table 3.15-10. Year 2014 Base Case and Base Case + Project Phase II Arterial LOS Analysis Results With Interim Mitigations for “With Project” Conditions

Table 3.15-11. Year 2014 Base Case (No Project) Freeway Level Of Service – Mixed Flow Lanes, With Freeway Widening Now Under Construction

Table 3.15-12. Year 2035 Base Case (No Project) Intersection Levels Of Service

Table 3.15-13. 95th Percentile Queuing

Table 3.15-14. Year 2035 Base Case and Base Case + Project Phase III Arterial LOS Analysis Results With Ultimate Improvements

Table 3.15-15. Year 2035 Base Case (No Project) Freeway Level of Service – Mixed Flow Lanes, With Widening Now Under Construction

Table 3.15-16. Project Trip Generation Analysis – Medical Center Phase II (by 2014)

Table 3.15-17. Project Trip Generation Analysis – Medical Center Phase III (by 2035 – includes Phase II)

Table 3.15-18. Project Trip Distribution

Table 3.15-19. 95th Percentile Queuing

Table 3.15-20. Year 2014 Base Case + Project Phase II Freeway Level of Service – Mixed Flow Lanes, With Freeway Widening Now Under Construction

Table 3.15-21. Year 2035 Base Case + Project Phase III Traffic – Intersection Level of Service

Table 3.15-22. 95th Percentile Queuing

Table 3.15-23. Year 2035 Base Case + Project Phase III Traffic Freeway Level of Service – Mixed Flow Lanes, With Widening Now Under Construction

Table 3.15-24. Sutter Medical Center Parking Code Requirement, By Phase

Table 3.15-25. Sutter Medical Center Parking, Peak Parking Demand, By Phase (spaces actually occupied at the peak demand hour—assumes no coverage/reserve)

Table 3.15-26. Year 2014 Base Case and Base Case + Project Phase III Intersection Levels of Service

Table 3.15-27. 95th Percentile Queuing

Table 3.15-28. Year 2014 Base Case and Base Case + Project Phase III Arterial LOS Analysis Results With Interim Mitigations for “With Project” Conditions

Volume 1 Tables, continued

Table 3.15-29. Year 2014 Base Case + Project Phase III Freeway Level of Service – Mixed Flow Lanes, With Freeway Widening Now Under Construction

Table 3.16-1. Summary of Projected Population and Customer and Demand in the CalAm Larkfield Service Area that Includes the Proposed Sutter Hospital¹

Table 3.16-2. Sutter Medical Center Projected Average and Maximum Day Domestic and Irrigation Water Needs and Average Generated Wastewater, August 12, 2009

Table 3.16-3. Schedule of Offsets Required for Zero Footprint

Table 4-1. Sources of Fuel

Table 4-2. Electricity Production by Type

Table 4-3. Sonoma County Electricity and Natural Gas Consumption 2007

Table 6 1. Assessment of Project Alternatives Compared to the Proposed Project

Table 6 2. Alternatives Considered but Rejected as Infeasible

Table 7-1. Summary of Issues for Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa / Luther Burbank Memorial Foundation Joint Master Plan

Volume 1 Figures

Figure 2-1. Project Vicinity

Figure 2-2. Existing Lot Configuration

Figure 2-3. Proposed Lot Configuration

Figure 2-4. Master Plan

Figure 3.2-1. Viewpoint Locations

Figure 3.2-2. Existing Conditions and Photosimulations, View 1: From Mark West Springs Road/WFC Entry Road looking southwest

Figure 3.2-3. Existing Conditions and Photosimulations, View 2: From Mark West Springs Road/US 101 Northbound offramp looking southeast

Figure 3.2-4. Existing Conditions and Photosimulations, View 3: From US 101 Southbound looking east

Figure 3.2-5. Existing Conditions and Photosimulations, View 4: From US 101 Northbound looking northeast

Figure 3.2-6. Existing Conditions and Photosimulations, View 5: From Eastern project boundary near Berrybrook subdivision looking west

Figure 3.4-1. Project Emission Sources and Receptors

Figure 3.4-2. US 101 and Modeled Hospital Receptors

Figure 3.5-1. Potential Waters of the U.S.

Figure 3.7-1. Regional Geology

Figure 3.7-2. Soil Types in the Project Vicinity

Figure 3.7-3. Neighboring Faults

Figure 3.9-1. Regional Hydrography

Figure 3.9-2. Existing Site Drainage

Figure 3.9-3. Proposed Site Drainage

Figure 3.9-4. Study Area

Figure 3.9-5. Spring Groundwater Levels (08N/08W-29B1)

Figure 3.9-6. Spring Groundwater Levels (08N/08W-29C3)

Figure 3.9-7. Spring Groundwater Levels (08N/09W-36N1)

Figure 3.9-8. Spring Groundwater Levels (08N/09W-36P1)

Figure 3.9-9. Spring Groundwater Levels (07N/09W-01C1)

Figure 3.9-10. Existing Groundwater Contours

Figure 3.9-11. Annual Total Precipitation at Santa Rosa

Volume 1 Figures, continued

Figure 3.9-12. Comparison Between Deficit in Water Balance and Deviation from Annual Rainfall Volume

Figure 3.9-13. Projected Groundwater Contours with Sutter Well System

Figure 3.9-14. Location of Wells in the Vicinity of the Proposed Sutter Wells

Figure 3-10-1. Surrounding Land Use

Figure 3.11-1. Noise Measurement Locations

Figure 3.11-2. Departure and Approach Profiles

Figure 3.11-3. 60 dBA and 65 dBA L_{dn} Helistop Contours

Figure 3.11-4. Helicopter 90 dBA Contours

Figure 3.11-5. Proposed Noise Barrier

Figure 3.15-1. Site Vicinity and Approved Developments

Figure 3.15-2. Traffic Circulation System and Controls

Figure 3.15-3. Existing (2008) Lane Geometry

Figure 3.15-4. Daily and Peak Hour Traffic Counts - 2008 AM (PM)

Figure 3.15-5. Peak Period Pedestrian Crossing Counts at Intersections

Figure 3.15-6. Peak Period Bicycle Crossing Counts at Intersections

Figure 3.15-7. Existing Sonoma County Transit (SCT) Scheduled Bus Services

Figure 3.15-8. 2014 No Project AM(PM) Traffic Volumes

Figure 3.15-9. 2035 No Project AM(PM) Traffic Volumes

Figure 3.15-10. Ultimate Proposed Lane Geometries and Traffic Controls

Figure 3.15-11. Sutter Medical Center and LBC Event Traffic

Figure 3.15-12. 2014 W/Project AM(PM) Traffic Volumes

Figure 3.15-13. 2035 W/Project AM(PM) Traffic Volumes

Figure 3.15-14. Proposed Interim Improvements (no modification to US 101 overcrossing)

Figure 3.15-15. Year 2014 Base Case + Project Phase II Mitigated Lane Geometries and Intersection Control

Figure 3.15-16. Year 2035 Base Case + Project Phase III Mitigated Lane Geometries and Intersection Control

Figure 3.15-17. Shared Parking Demand for Phase II

Figure 3.15-18. Shared Parking Demand for Phase III

Figure 3.15-19. 2014 Base Case + project Phase III AM and PM Peak Hour Volumes

Volume 1 Figures, continued

Figure 3.15-20. Year 2014 Base Case + Project Phase III Mitigated Lane Geometrics and Intersection Control

Figure 6-1. Acute Discharges

Figure 6-2. Acute Discharges

Figure 6-3. Key Map

Figure 6-4. Alternatives Studied Further

Figure 6-5. Shiloh Road/101 Alternative Site

Figure 6-6. Shiloh Road/101 Alternative Site with Predicted Noise Contours from Helicopter Take Off and Landing

Figure 6-7. Todd Road/Moorland Avenue Alternative Site

Figure 6-8. Todd Road/Moorland Ave Alternative Site with Predicted Noise Contours from Helicopter Take Off and Landing

Figure 6-9. Alternative 4a - Todd Road

Figure 6-10. Alternative 4a - Mark West Springs

Figure 6-11. Todd Road/Moorland Avenue Alternative Site with Adjusted Helipad and Predicted Noise Contours from Helicopter Take Off and Landing

Figure 6-12. Alternative 4b - Ring Property

Figure 6-13. Alternative 4a - Mark West Springs

Figure 6-14a. Ring Property Alternative Site Without Strong Winds with Predicted Noise Contours from Helicopter Take Off and Landing

Figure 6-14b. Ring Property Alternative Site with Strong Winds with Predicted Noise Contours from Helicopter Take Off and Landing

Figure 6-15. Alternative 6 - Mark West Springs 70-Bed Hospital Only

Figure 6-16. Alternative 7 - Mark West Springs Overall Reduced Project

